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Attention to Diversity as a National Need

“If our 21st Century science and engineering workforce is not representative of our citizenry, we as a nation will miss the most promising opportunity for continued U.S. success. The loss will cut two ways- it will rob worthy individuals of the chance to enrich their lives and to contribute to the engine of our economy and culture, and it will undermine the ability of our nation to prosper within an increasingly competitive world.”
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BUILDING DIVERSITY LITERACY
Diversity Literacy Preview

- Color-blindness
- Cultural competence
- Cultural default
- Demographic fault lines
- Diversity
- Diversity resistance
- Glass ceilings
- Glass escalators
- Identity
- Inclusion

- Privilege
- Multiculturalism
- Micro-inequities
- Sticky Floors
Social identities

- Nationality
- Religion
- Education
- Learning Style
- Gender
- Physical ability/disability
- Marital Status
- Physical Health
- Ethnicity
- Geographic region

- Race
- Tenure
- Birth Order
- Occupation
- Mental Health
- Personality
- Age
- Weight
- Language
- Sexuality
Definitions of diversity

• Everything that makes people different from each other

• Just race and gender (minority group status)

• Cultural variations within our institutions

• Those differences that carry social and historical significance in the modern world
Principles of Cultural Competence

• Working with others (e.g. clients, peers, suppliers) is ultimately a cross cultural experience
• Cultural competence reflects a process rather than an achievement
• In order to understand others we need to better understand the self
• Promote awareness rather than stereotypes
• Understanding the cultural default and meeting the needs of others outside that category
Diversity vs. inclusion

**Diversity**
- Quantity
- Demographics
- Observed worker characteristics
- Unobserved worker characteristics
- Fair treatment
- Representation across institution

**Inclusion**
- Quality
- Employee participation & involvement
- Enhanced communication
- Stronger community relations, internally & externally
- Voice
- Opportunity for influence
Some Characteristics of Inclusive Institutions

- Recognition
  - Individual
  - Group
- Participation
- Voice
- Equality & Fairness
  - Power
  - Influence
- Integration
  - Avoiding demographic fault lines
Institutional diversity paradigms: How does your institution do diversity?

- Discrimination & Fairness
  - Recruitment oriented
  - Little support or development
  - Revolving door

- Access & Legitimacy
  - “Special” positions or units
  - Feelings of exploitation
  - Lack of organizational learning

- Learning & Effectiveness
  - Diversity & inclusion as a key parts of strategy
  - Diversity adds value
  - Diversity as a tool for organizational learning and innovation
  - Accountability mechanisms
Cases for Diversity within STEM
The Cases for Diversity

• Democratic society

• Learning & innovation

• Business & the economy

• Higher education

• Institutional and individual costs of resistance
Making the Case for Diversity

- Democratic society
  - Fairness & justice
  - Access rather than treatment
Learning and Innovation

- Creativity and innovation
- Critical thinking
- Social and interpersonal development
Business/Economy case for diversity

• Tap hidden talent
• Enlarge worker skill sets
• Expand markets domestically and internationally
• Organizations that excel in regards to diversity excel in their financial performance
• Support national economy
“…if Hispanics and African Americans had the same education and commensurate earnings as Whites, there would be an upsurge in national wealth of $113 billion annually for African Americans and $118 billion for Hispanics.”

The Higher Ed Case

• Institutions of Higher Ed
  – Accomplish our mission
  – Competitiveness
    • National rankings
    • Size/quality of the student body
    • Productivity of our labs
    • Grant dollars
  – Recruitment efforts

• Individual faculty
  – Teach our classes
  – Conduct our research
  – Acquire funding
  – Extend our work
“…we are warned that our way of life is threatened because we are in danger of falling behind in science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM), the disciplines that have powered American prosperity for decades.”

*National Academies (2007)*
“The solution to America’s competitiveness problem is to activate the hidden workforce for young men and women who have traditionally been underrepresented in STEM careers—African Americans, American Indians, and Latinos.”

NACME (2008)
Challenges

• Implicit prejudice

• Privilege

• Colorblindness
Implicit Bias

• Modern forms of prejudice are rarely overt and hostile. Typically they are subtle, covert, and often not intentional.

• Many forms of modern prejudice and implicit bias are unintentional, automatic, and outside of our awareness.

• Frequently they are contrary to our espoused beliefs yet they infiltrate many judgments and decisions.
Areas where implicit bias undermines diversity in academe and STEM

By the perpetuators

- Lowered expectations (Bertand and Sendhill, 2004; Eagly and Karau, 2002)
- Uneven evaluations (Biernant & Manis, 1994)
- Limited and narrow views of excellence
- Exclusion

For the underrepresented targets

- Vigilant, suspicious
- Stereotype threat (Steele & Aronson, 1995)
- Lack of belonging (Cheryan et al., 2007)
- Taxes mental resources (Schmader & Johns, 2003)
- Health consequences (Clarke et al., 1999; Mendes et al., 2007)
Glass Ceilings, escalators, & sticky floors

• The Glass Ceiling
  – The invisible barrier that keeps women and minorities from ascending to positions of leadership and authority in their institutions despite their experiences and credentials.

• The Glass Escalator
  – The invisible that lifts, supports, and legitizes the continued development and promotion of the “cultural default.”

• Sticky Floors
  – The forces that limit the aspirations of women and minorities to climb their career ladders. “If I have it bad now, it will even be worse at a higher level. More work and even less support.”
Explanations for the glass ceiling

• What Leaders Say
  – Lack of a pipeline
  – Women not in the pipeline long enough
  – Lack of interest
  – Divided commitments
  – Women don’t have the right kind of workplace experiences

• What Women Say
  – Male cultures
  – Poor climate for diversity & inclusion
  – Restrictive stereotypes
  – Lack of mentoring & access to networks
  – Limited access to the right kind of workplace experiences
Strategies for breaking the glass ceiling

• What leaders say…
  – Fill the pipeline
  – Develop committed women
  – Retain senior women to assist in the development of more junior women

• What executive women say…
  – Exceed all expectations
  – Adopt a style that puts men at ease
HANDEDNESS
Definitions of and insights about privilege

• “…an invisible package of unearned assets that I can count on cashing in each day, but about which I was ‘meant’ to remain oblivious” (McIntosh, 1993, p.31)

• “…a ‘system’ normed on the experiences, values, and perceptions of one group.” (Maier, 1997, p. 29)

• “The invisibility of privilege strengthens the power it creates and maintains. The invisible cannot be combated, and as a result privilege is allowed to perpetuate, regenerate, and re-create itself. Privilege is systemic, not an occasional occurrence. Privilege is invisible only until looked for, but silence in the face of privilege sustains its invisibility…our way of life….simply the way things are…Others have a lack, an absence, a deficiency.” (Wildman & Davis, 1996, p. 8-17)
Common elements of privilege

Normalcy
Taken for granted
Invisible
Status quo
Choice to confront
Examples of privilege

- I can look at the leadership in my institution and feel confident that I am represented.
- I can make a mistake and know that it will not impact the future of others like me in my institution.
- I can seek information or feedback and not fear that I will be labeled as needy or incompetent.
- I can feel confident that my institution has the best interests of people like me in mind.
- I know that my culture and/or religion is respected.
- My language is respected in my institution.
- I am not viewed as a “credit to my group” when I am successful.
- There are high expectations of those who are of my group.
- I can ask to speak to the person in charge and feel confident that I will meet with a person of my group.
- I can make a complaint or seek justice without having my group membership called into question.
- I can be successful and not raise others’ suspicions.
The overall pattern

Whites' diversity beliefs

2SD- 2SD+

Whites' diversity beliefs
Controlling for department demographics

multiculturalism: $R^2 = .36, \beta = .71, t(14) = 3.24, p = .006$

colorblindness: $R^2 = .43, \beta = -.62, t(14) = -2.77, p = .015$
Colorblindness: $R^2 = .30$, $\beta = -.53$, $t(14) = -2.25$, $p = .049$

Multiculturalism: $R^2 = .33$, $\beta = .65$, $t(14) = 1.88$, $p = .081$
The mediating role of perceived bias

“There is zero tolerance for any form of harassment at [the organization]” (reverse-scored).

Sobel’s $Z = -2.34$, $p = .019$

Sobel’s $Z = 1.99$, $p = .047$
Potential mechanisms

• Whites’ diversity-resistant beliefs (e.g., less MC/more CB) may lead to

  – Organizational policies unsupportive of diversity
  – Hostile interpersonal environment
    • Social distancing
  – Interpersonal discrimination
    • Lack of access to quality mentoring
    • Exclusion from informal networks
    • Additional hurdles, sticky floors, and glass ceilings
Micro-inequities: Bias in action

• **Micro-aggressions**
  – Interruptions
  – Talked over
  – Translations
  – Called the wrong name or have your name repeatedly mispronounced
  – Excluded by others
  – Ideas discounted

• **Micro-support**
  – Provide our full attention
  – Acknowledge each other’s contributions
  – Recognize strengths
  – Respectfully ask questions for clarification
  – Hold each other accountable when we see micro-aggressions taking place
  – Break the silence
Strategies
What’s next?

• Make diversity an espoused and practiced goal

• Set transparent and inclusive criteria and processes for hiring, promotion, salary, resources

• Assessment, assessment, assessment….

• Pay attention to the practices of search committees-build accountability
More strategies

• Break cultural norms that silence conversations around diversity

• Developmental assignments

• Monitor graduate students’ experiences and career goals

• Legitimize conversations around diversity
THANK YOU!

- Questions, comments?